

1 WO  
2  
3  
4  
5

6 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
7 DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

8 United States of America,

9 Plaintiff,

10 v.

11 Jesus Arcila-Torres,  
12 aka Jesus Arzila-Torres,  
13 aka Luis Saucedo-Chavez  
14 aka Luis Luna-Peres,

Defendant.

MAGISTRATE NO. 08-3476M

15 **Order Granting Parties' Joint Motion  
16 to Extend Time to Indict**

17 HAVING considered the parties' Joint Motion for Extension of Time to Indict and good  
18 cause having been shown;

19 THE COURT makes the following findings:

20 1. Counsel for defendant has only recently been appointed;

21 2. The defendant earnestly wishes to consider the plea offer extended by the  
22 government;

23 3. The defendant wishes to investigate possible defenses prior to considering the  
24 government's plea offer, which is made pursuant to a 'fast track' early disposition  
25 program authorized by the Department of Justice pursuant to § 401(m) of the  
26 Prosecuting Remedies and Tools Against the Exploitation of Children Today Act  
27 of 2003 (PROTECT Act), Pub. L. 108-21, 117 Stat. 650 (Apr. 30, 2003);

28 4. The government's plea offer, if accepted by the defendant and then the court,  
would likely reduce defendant's exposure to a significant term of imprisonment;

5. If the defendant does not timely accept the plea offer prior to indictment, the  
government will withdraw said plea offer and any subsequent plea offer after  
indictment would likely be less advantageous to the defendant;

6. Failure to extend time for indictment in this instance would thus operate to bar  
defendant from reviewing the government's plea offer in a meaningful way prior  
to indictment;


1                   7. Granting an extension of time for indictment in this case is likely to result in the  
2 case being resolved earlier, which would further the public's interest in the timely  
3 and efficient administration of justice; and  
4                   8. The ends of justice served by this continuance outweigh the best interest of the  
public and the defendant in a speedy indictment.

5                   The Court therefore concludes that the ends of justice are best served by granting an  
6 extension of time to present the case to the grand jury and in excluding a period of thirty (30)  
7 days under the Speedy Trial Act. In making this determination, the Court has particularly taken  
8 into account that the failure to grant the Defendant's request "would deny counsel for the  
9 defendant . . . the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the  
10 exercise of due diligence." 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

11                  **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** that the parties' Joint Motion for Extension of Time to  
12 Indict requesting an extension of thirty (30) days within which the government may seek to  
13 indict defendant, is hereby granted.

14                  **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161,  
15 the Government shall have an extension of thirty (30) days to file a timely Indictment.  
16 Excludable time shall begin to run on the 31st day after arrest for a period of thirty (30) days in  
17 which the Government may present the case to the grand jury.

18                  DATED this 17th day of November, 2008.

19                    
20                  Lawrence O. Anderson  
21                  United States Magistrate Judge

22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28